arXiv:1401.4095v2 [math.FA] 18 Jul 2014

A direct proof that $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$ has generalized roundness zero

Ian Doust, Stephen Sánchez, and Anthony Weston

Abstract. Metric spaces of generalized roundness zero have interesting non-embedding properties. For instance, we note that no metric space of generalized roundness zero is isometric to any metric subspace of any L_p-space for which $0 < p \leq 2$. Lennard, Tonge and Weston gave an indirect proof that $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$ has generalized roundness zero by appealing to non-trivial isometric embedding theorems of Bretagnolle Dacunha-Castelle and Krivine, and Misiewicz. In this paper we give a direct proof that $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$ has generalized roundness zero. This provides insight into the combinatorial geometry of $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$ that causes the generalized roundness inequalities to fail. We complete the paper by noting a characterization of real quasi-normed spaces of generalized roundness zero.

1. Introduction: negative type and generalized roundness

A notion of generalized roundness for metric spaces was introduced by Enflo [5] (see Definition 1.1 (c)). Enflo constructed a separable metric space of generalized roundness zero that is not uniformly homeomorphic to any metric subspace of any Hilbert space. This showed that Hilbert spaces are not universal uniform embedding spaces and thereby settled a question of Smirnov. Enflo's application of generalized roundness to the uniform theory of Banach spaces remains unique and may, indeed, be regarded as an anomaly. The reason for this is that generalized roundness is, for all intents and purposes, an isometric rather than uniform invariant. Indeed, Lennard, Tonge and Weston [8] have shown that the generalized roundness and supremal p-negative type of any given metric space coincide. Negative type is a well-known classical isometric invariant whose origin may be traced back to an 1841 paper of Cayley [3]. We recall the relevant definitions here.

Definition 1.1. Let $p \geq 0$ and let (X, d) be a metric space. Then:

(a) (X, d) has *p-negative type* if and only if for all integers $n \geq 2$, all finite subsets $\{z_1, \ldots, z_n\} \subseteq X$, and all choices of real numbers $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n$ with $\zeta_1 + \cdots + \zeta_n = 0$, we have:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} d(z_i, z_j)^p \zeta_i \zeta_j \quad \leq \quad 0. \tag{1.1}$$

(b) p is a *generalized roundness exponent* of (X, d) if and only if for all integers $n > 1$, and all choices of points $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in X$, we have:

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \Big\{ d(x_i, x_j)^p + d(y_i, y_j)^p \Big\} \quad \leq \quad 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} d(x_i, y_j)^p. \tag{1.2}$$

(c) The *generalized roundness* of (X, d) is defined to be the supremum of the set of all generalized roundness exponents of (X, d).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B20.

Key words and phrases. Generalized roundness, negative type, L_p-spaces.

There is a richly developed theory of negative type metrics that has stemmed from classical papers of Cayley [3], Menger [10, 11, 12] and Schoenberg [16, 17, 18]. Recently there has been intense interest in negative type metrics due to their usefulness in algorithmic settings. A prime illustration is given by the *Sparsest Cut problem with relaxed demands* in combinatorial optimization [7, 14]. There are a number of monographs that provide modern, in-depth, treatments of the theory of negative type metrics, including Berg, Christensen and Ressel [1], Deza and Laurent [4], and Wells and Williams [19].

We note that some natural embedding problems involve spaces such as L_p with $0 < p < 1$. These spaces carry the natural quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_p}$ together with the corresponding quasi-metric $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|_{L_p}$. The terminology being used here, however, is not universal. By a *quasi-metric* d on a set X we mean a function d that satisfies the usual conditions for a metric on X, save that the triangle inequality is relaxed in the following manner: there is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$d(x, y) \leq K \cdot \{d(x, z) + d(z, y)\}.$$

In the case of L_p with $0 < p < 1$, the best possible (smallest) such constant K is $2^{(1-p)/p}$. The concepts of Definition 1.1 apply equally well in the broader context of quasi-metric spaces.

It is an important result of Schoenberg [16] that a metric space can be isometrically embedded in some Hilbert space if and only if it has 2-negative type. The related problem of embedding Banach spaces linearly and isometrically into L_p-spaces was raised by Lévy [9] in 1937. In the case $0 < p \leq 2$, Bretagnolle, Dacunha-Castelle and Krivine [2, Theorem 2] established that a real quasi-normed space X is linearly isometric to a subspace of some L_p-space if and only if X has p-negative type. This result was applied in [2] to prove that L_q embeds linearly and isometrically into L_p if $0 < p < q \leq 2$. However, in practice it is a hard task to determine if a given real quasi-normed space X has p-negative type.

In 1938 Schoenberg [18] raised the problem of determining those $p \in (0, 2)$ for which $\ell_q^{(n)}$, $2 < q \leq \infty$, has p-negative type. The cases $q = \infty$ and $2 < q < \infty$ were settled, respectively, by Misiewicz [13] and Koldobsky [6]: if $n \geq 3$ and $2 < q \leq \infty$, then $\ell_q^{(n)}$ is not linearly isometric to any subspace of any L_p-space for which $0 < p \leq 2$. In other words, by [2, Theorem 2], $\ell_q^{(n)}$ does not have p-negative type for any $p > 0$ if $n \geq 3$ and $2 < q \leq \infty$. The restriction $n \geq 3$ on the dimension of $\ell_q^{(n)}$ is essential in this setting. It is well-known that every 2-dimensional normed space is linearly isometric to a subspace of L_1. (See, for example, Yost [20].) In particular, every 2-dimensional normed space has generalized roundness at least one.

For any $p \geq 0$, Lennard *et al.* [8, Theorem 2.4] have shown that conditions (a) and (b) in Definition 1.1 are equivalent. Thus, as noted above, the generalized roundness and supremal p-negative type of any given metric space coincide. It therefore follows from the results in [2, 13, 8] that $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$ has generalized roundness zero (see [8, Theorem 2.8]). Unfortunately, this indirect proof gives no insight into the combinatorial geometry of $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$ that causes the inequalities (1.2) to fail whenever $p > 0$. The main purpose of this paper is to rectify this situation by giving a direct proof that $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$ has generalized roundness zero.

The combinatorial geometry which forces $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$ to have generalized roundness zero necessarily carries over to any real quasi-normed space X that contains an isometric copy of $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$. For example, Banach spaces such as $X = c_0$ or $C[0, 1]$ have generalized roundness zero for the same geometric reasons as $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$.

In Section 2 we reformulate Definition 1.1 (b) in terms of regular Borel measures of compact support. This facilitates our direct proof that $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$ has generalized roundness zero. The argument proceeds by the analysis of an explicit geometric construction. Equivalently, our arguments give an elementary new proof that $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$ does not have p-negative type for any $p > 0$. Section 4 completes the paper with a characterization of real quasi-normed spaces of generalized roundness zero.

2. A measure-theoretic reformulation of generalized roundness

The purpose of this section is to introduce an equivalent formulation of generalized roundness that is predicated in terms of measures. The equivalence of conditions (1) and (2) in the statement of the following theorem is due to Lennard *et al.* [8, Theorem 2.2].

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and suppose that $p \geq 0$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *p is a generalized roundness exponent of (X,d).*
(2) *For all integers $N \geq 1$, all finite sets $\{x_1,\dots,x_N\} \subseteq X$, and all collections of non-negative real numbers $m_1,\dots,m_N,n_1,\dots,n_N$ that satisfy $m_1+\cdots+m_N = n_1+\cdots+n_N$, we have:*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \{m_i m_j + n_i n_j\} d(x_i,x_j)^p \leq 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} m_i n_j d(x_i,x_j)^p.$$

(3) *For all regular Borel probability measures of compact support μ and ν on X, we have:*

$$\iint_{X\times X} d(x,x')^p \, d\mu(x) d\mu(x') + \iint_{X\times X} d(y,y')^p \, d\nu(y) d\nu(y') \leq 2 \iint_{X\times X} d(x,y)^p \, d\mu(x) d\nu(y) \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Let $p > 0$.

Suppose there exists a finite set $\{x_1,\dots,x_N\} \subseteq X$, and corresponding non-negative real numbers $m_1,\dots,m_N,n_1,\dots,n_N$ (not all zero) that satisfy $m_1+\cdots+m_N = n_1+\cdots+n_N$, such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \{m_i m_j + n_i n_j\} d(x_i,x_j)^p > 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} m_i n_j d(x_i,x_j)^p.$$

By normalization, we may assume that $m_1+\cdots+m_N = 1 = n_1+\cdots+n_N$. One may then define probability measures μ and ν on the set $\{x_1,\dots,x_N\}$ as follows: $\mu(\{x_i\}) = m_i$ and $\nu(\{x_i\}) = n_i$ for all i, $1 \leq i \leq N$. This provides a suitable instance of (2.1) failing.

Conversely, suppose that μ and ν are measures such that inequality (2.1) fails. Let X_0 be a compact set containing the support of the two measures. For $n > 0$ let $S_n = \{x_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be a set of points so that the balls $B(x_i, 1/n)$ cover X_0. For $x \in X_0$, let $\alpha_n(x)$ equal the element of S_n closest to x (where, in case of a tie, one takes the element with the smallest index). Let $f_n : X_0 \times X_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f_n(x,y) = d(\alpha_n(x),\alpha_n(y))^p$. Since the map $(x,y) \mapsto d(x,y)^p$ is uniformly continuous on $X_0 \times X_0$ and α_n converges uniformly to the identity on X_0, it is easy to check that $f_n(x,y) \to d(x,y)^p$ uniformly on $X_0 \times X_0$ and hence that the integrals of f_n with respect to the product measures $\mu\times\nu$, $\nu\times\nu$ and $\mu\times\mu$ converge to the corresponding integrals of $d(\cdot,\cdot)^p$.

Since f_n is a simple function, integrals of it are of the form $\sum_{i,j=1}^n c_{ij} d(x_i,x_j)^p$. Indeed, if we set $m_i = \mu(\{x : \alpha_n(x) = x_i\})$ and $n_i = \nu(\{x : \alpha_n(x) = x_i\})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \iint_{X\times X} f_n(x,y) \, d\mu(x) d\nu(y) - \iint_{X\times X} f_n(x,x') \, d\mu(x) d\mu(x') - \iint_{X\times X} f_n(y,y') \, d\nu(y) d\nu(y') \\ = 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} m_i n_j d(x_i,x_j)^p - \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} m_i m_j d(x_i,x_j)^p - \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} n_i n_j d(x_i,x_j)^p. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Since inequality (2.1) fails, for large enough n, the left-hand side of (2.2) is negative. □

In the formulation of Definition 1.1 (b) one may assume that the sets $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$ are disjoint. This is due to cancellation of like terms. In the measure setting, this corresponds to the measures having disjoint support. We note that analysis of negative type and hypermetric inequalities using measures is not novel. See, for example, Nickolas and Wolf [**15**, Theorem 3.2].

3. A direct proof that $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$ has generalized roundness zero

We now give a direct proof that $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$ has generalized roundness 0 on the basis of a geometric construction.

Theorem 3.1. *For all $p > 0$, p is not a generalized roundness exponent of $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$.*

Proof. Let d denote the metric on $\mathbb{R}^3$ induced by the norm of $\ell_\infty^{(3)}$. Fix $p > 0$. Fix $L > 2$ and define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} S_\mu &= \{(t,\pm 1,0) : -L \leq t \leq L\}, \text{ and} \\ S_\nu &= \{(t,0,\pm 1) : -L \leq t \leq L\}. \end{aligned}$$

So each set is made up of a pair of parallel lines of length $2L$. Define the measures $\mu = \mu_L$ and $\nu = \nu_L$ to be one-dimensional Lebesgue measure supported on the corresponding sets S_μ and S_ν.

Clearly

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} d(x,x')^p \, d\mu(x)d\mu(x') = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} d(y,y')^p \, d\nu(y)d\nu(y'),$$

and so we just need to show that, for sufficiently large L,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} d(x,y)^p \, d\mu(x)d\mu(y) > \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} d(x,y)^p \, d\mu(x)d\nu(y). \tag{3.1}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} d(x,y)^p \, d\mu(x)d\mu(y) \\
&\quad= \int_{-L}^{L}\left(\int_{-L}^{L}\|(t,1,0)-(s,1,0)\|^p\,ds + \int_{-L}^{L}\|(t,1,0)-(s,-1,0)\|^p\,ds\right)dt \\
&\qquad+\int_{-L}^{L}\left(\int_{-L}^{L}\|(t,-1,0)-(s,1,0)\|^p\,ds + \int_{-L}^{L}\|(t,-1,0)-(s,-1,0)\|^p\,ds\right)dt \\
&\quad= 2\Big(\int_{-L}^{L}\int_{-L}^{L}\|(t,1,0)-(s,1,0)\|^p\,ds\,dt + \int_{-L}^{L}\int_{-L}^{L}\|(t,1,0)-(s,-1,0)\|^p\,ds\,dt\Big) \\
&\quad= 2\Big(\int_{-L}^{L}\int_{-L}^{L}|t-s|^p\,ds\,dt + \int_{-L}^{L}\int_{-L}^{L}\max(|t-s|,2)^p\,ds\,dt\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

For fixed t,

$$\int_{-L}^{L}|t-s|^p\,ds = \frac{(t+L)^{p+1}}{p+1} + \frac{(L-t)^{p+1}}{p+1},$$

and so

$$T_1 = \int_{-L}^{L}\int_{-L}^{L}|t-s|^p\,ds\,dt = 8\,\frac{2^pL^{p+2}}{p^2+3\,p+2}.$$

The other term needs to be split into pieces. If $-L \le t \le -L+2$, then

$$\int_{-L}^{L}\max(|t-s|,2)^p\,ds = 2^p\,(t+2+L) + \frac{(L-t)^{p+1}-2^{p+1}}{p+1},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-L}^{-L+2}\int_{-L}^{L}\max(|t-s|,2)^p\,ds\,dt &= \int_{L-2}^{L}\int_{-L}^{L}\max(|t-s|,2)^p\,ds\,dt \\
&= -\,\frac{2^{p+1}\big(2\,L^2(L-1)^p - 3\,p^2 - 4\,L(L-1)^p - 2\,L^{p+2} - 7\,p + 2\,(L-1)^p - 2\big)}{p^2+3\,p+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $-L+2 \le t \le L-2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-L}^{L}\max(|t-s|,2)^p\,ds &= \int_{-L}^{t-2}(t-s)^p\,ds + 4\cdot 2^p + \int_{t+2}^{L}(s-t)^p\,ds \\
&= \frac{(t+L)^{p+1}-2^{p+1}}{p+1} + 4\cdot 2^p + \frac{(L-t)^{p+1}-2^{p+1}}{p+1},
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-L+2}^{L-2}\int_{-L}^{L}\max(|t-s|,2)^p\,ds\,dt \\
&\qquad= \frac{2^{p+3}\big((L-1)^pL^2 + Lp^2 - 2\,(L-1)^pL + 2\,Lp - 2\,p^2 + (L-1)^p - 4\,p - 1\big)}{p^2+3\,p+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Adding the appropriate terms and simplifying

$$T_2 = \int_{-L}^{L}\int_{-L}^{L} \max(|t-s|,2)^p \, ds\, dt = \frac{2^{p+2}\big(2\,Lp^2+4\,Lp-p^2+2\,L^{p+2}-p\big)}{p^2+3\,p+2}.$$

Combining T_1 and T_2, we get

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} d(x,y)^p \, d\mu(x)d\mu(y) = 2\,(T_1+T_2) = \frac{2^{p+3}\big(2\,Lp^2+4\,Lp-p^2+4\,L^{p+2}-p\big)}{p^2+3\,p+2}.$$

We now turn to calculating the right-hand side of (3.1). By symmetry

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} d(x,y)^p \, d\mu(x)d\nu(y) = 4\Big(\int_{-L}^{L}\int_{-L}^{L} \|(t,1,0)-(s,0,1)\|^p \, ds\, dt\Big).$$

If $-L \le t \le -L+1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-L}^{L} \|(t,1,0)-(s,0,1)\|^p \, ds &= 1\cdot(t+1-(-L)) + \int_{t+1}^{L}(s-t)^p\, ds\\ &= t+1+L+\frac{(L-t)^{p+1}-1}{p+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-L}^{-L+1}\int_{-L}^{L} \|(t,1,0)-(s,0,1)\|^p \, ds\, dt &= \int_{L-1}^{L}\int_{-L}^{L} \|(t,1,0)-(s,0,1)\|^p \, ds\, dt\\ &= \frac{2^{p+3}L^{p+2}-8\,(2\,L-1)^p\,L^2+8\,(2\,L-1)^p\,L+3\,p^2-2\,(2\,L-1)^p+7\,p+2}{2(p^2+3\,p+2)}.\end{aligned}$$

If $-L+1 \le t \le L-1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-L}^{L} \|(t,1,0)-(s,0,1)\|^p \, ds &= \int_{-L}^{t-1}(t-s)^p\, ds + 2\cdot 1 + \int_{t+1}^{L}(s-t)^p\, ds\\ &= \frac{(t+L)^{p+1}-1}{p+1} + 2 + \frac{(L-t)^{p+1}-1}{p+1},\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-L+1}^{L-1}\int_{-L}^{L} \|(t,1,0)-(s,0,1)\|^p \, ds\, dt\\ &\quad= 2\,\frac{2\,p^2L+4\,(2\,L-1)^p\,L^2-4\,(2\,L-1)^p\,L+(2\,L-1)^p+4\,pL-1-2\,p^2-4\,p}{p^2+3\,p+2}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining these gives

$$\begin{aligned}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} d(x,y)^p \, d\mu(x)d\nu(y) &= 4\int_{-L}^{L}\int_{-L}^{L} \|(t,1,0)-(s,0,1)\|^p \, ds\, dt\\ &= \frac{4\big(4\,Lp^2+8\,Lp+8\,2^pL^{p+2}-p^2-p\big)}{p^2+3\,p+2}.\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\Delta(L,p) = \frac{p^2+3\,p+2}{4p}\Big(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} d(x,y)^p \, d\mu(x)d\nu(y) - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} d(x,y)^p \, d\mu(x)d\mu(y)\Big).$$

From the above calculations we see that $\Delta(L,p) = 4\,Lp+2^{p+1}p+8\,L+2^{p+1}-4\,L2^pp-8\,L2^p-p-1$. It remains to show that no matter how small p is, one can choose L so that $\Delta(L,p)<0$. (Of course, for any

fixed L, $\Delta(L,p) \geq 0$ for p near zero.) It suffices to choose $L = 1/p$. To see this note that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(1/p,p) &= 4 + 2^{p+1}p + \frac{8}{p} + 2^{p+1} - 4\cdot 2^p - \frac{8\cdot 2^p}{p} - p - 1\\ &= p\left(2^{p+1}-1\right) + \left(3 - 2^{p+1}\right) + \frac{8\left(1-2^p\right)}{p}.\end{aligned}$$

Elementary calculus shows that for $0 < p < 1$,

$$p\left(2^{p+1}-1\right) < 3,\ \left(3-2^{p+1}\right) < 1,\ \text{and}\ \frac{8\left(1-2^p\right)}{p} < -8\ln 2 < -5,$$

and hence for any small p, $\Delta(1/p,p) < 0$ as required. □

4. Quasi-normed spaces of generalized roundness zero

In this brief section we return to the theme of isometrically embedding metric spaces into L_p-spaces. The theory developed in the papers [17, 2, 8] gives rise to the following characterization of real quasi-normed spaces of generalized roundness zero.

Theorem 4.1. *A real quasi-normed space X has generalized roundness zero if and only if it is not linearly isometric to any subspace of any L_p-space for which $0 < p \leq 2$.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Let X be a real quasi-normed space of generalized roundness zero. It is plain that a metric space of generalized roundness $\wp$ cannot be isometric to any metric space of generalized roundness $p > \wp$. Thus X is not isometric to any metric space of positive generalized roundness. The generalized roundness of any metric subspace of any L_p-space for which $0 < p \leq 2$ is at least p by [8, Corollary 2.6]. The forward implication is now evident.

($\Leftarrow$) We argue the contrapositive. Let X be a real quasi-normed space of positive generalized roundness. The set of all p for which a given metric space has p-negative type is always an interval of the form $[0,\wp]$ for some $\wp \geq 0$ or $[0,\infty)$ by Schoenberg [17, Theorem 2]. So it follows from [8, Theorem 2.4] that X has p-negative type for some $p \in (0,2]$. Thus X is linearly isometric to a subspace of some L_p-space by [2, Theorem 2]. □

It is worth noting that in the proof of the forward implication of Theorem 4.1 the linear structures of X and L_p play no role. We may therefore infer the following corollary from the argument given above.

Corollary 4.2. *If X is a metric space of generalized roundness zero, then X is not isometric to any metric subspace of any L_p-space for which $0 < p \leq 2$.*

Acknowledgments

We thank the referee for detailed and thoughtful comments on the preliminary version of this paper.

References

[1] C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen, and P. Ressel, *Harmonic Analysis on Semigroups (Theory of Positive Definite and Related Functions)*, Springer-Verlag (Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo), Graduate Texts in Mathematics **100** (1984). 2
[2] J. Bretagnolle, D. Dacunha-Castelle and J. L. Krivine, *Lois stables et espaces L^p*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré **2** (1966), 231–259. 2, 6
[3] A. Cayley, *On a theorem in the geometry of position*, Cambridge Mathematical Journal **II** (1841), 267–271. 1, 2
[4] M. M. Deza and M. Laurent, *Geometry of Cuts and Metrics*, Springer-Verlag (Berlin), Algorithms and Combinatorics **15** (1997). 2
[5] P. Enflo, *On a problem of Smirnov*, Ark. Mat. **8** (1969), 107–109. 1
[6] A. Koldobsky, *Schoenberg's problem on positive definite functions*, (English translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **3** (1992), 563-570), Algebra and Analysis **3** (1991), 78–85. 2
[7] J. R. Lee and A. Naor, *L_p metrics on the Heisenberg group and the Goemans-Linial conjecture*, Proceedings of the 47th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, 99–108, October 21–24, 2006. 2
[8] C. J. Lennard, A. M. Tonge and A. Weston, *Generalized roundness and negative type*, Mich. Math. J. **44** (1997), 37–45. 1, 2, 6
[9] P. Lévy, *Théorie de l'addition des variables aléatoires*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1937. 2
[10] K. Menger, *Untersuchungen über allgemeine Metrik*, Math. Ann. **100** (1928), 75–163. 2

[11] K. Menger, *New foundation of Euclidean geometry*, Amer. J. Math. **53** (1931), 721–745. 2
[12] K. Menger, *Géométrie Générale*, Mémor. Sci. Math., no. 124, Académie des Sciences de Paris, Gauthier-Villars (1954). 2
[13] J. K. Misiewicz, *Positive definite norm dependent functions on* ℓ^∞, Statist. Probab. Lett. **8** (1989), 255–260. 2
[14] A. Naor, L_1 *embeddings of the Heisenberg group and fast estimation of graph isoperimetry*, In: Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, **3** (2010), Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 1549–1575. 2
[15] P. Nickolas and R. Wolf, *Distance geometry and quasihypermetric spaces. I.*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **80** (2009), 1–25. 3
[16] I. J. Schoenberg, *Remarks to Maurice Frechet's article "Sur la définition axiomatique d'une classe d'espaces distanciés vectoriellement applicable sur l'espace de Hilbert."*, Ann. Math. **36** (1935), 724–732. 2
[17] I. J. Schoenberg, *On certain metric spaces arising from Euclidean spaces by a change of metric and their imbedding in Hilbert space*, Ann. Math. **38** (1937), 787–793. 2, 6
[18] I. J. Schoenberg, *Metric spaces and positive definite functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1938), 522–536. 2
[19] J. H. Wells and L. R. Williams, *Embeddings and Extensions in Analysis*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **84** (1975). 2
[20] D. Yost, L_1 *contains every two-dimensional normed space*, Ann. Polon. Math. **49** (1988), 17–19. 2

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia
E-mail address: i.doust@unsw.edu.au

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia
E-mail address: stephen.sanchez@unsw.edu.au

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Canisius College, Buffalo, NY 14208, USA
E-mail address: westona@canisius.edu

Faculty of Education and Arts, Australian Catholic University, North Sydney, NSW 2060, Australia

Department of Decision Sciences, University of South Africa, PO Box 392, UNISA 0003, South Africa
E-mail address: westoar@unisa.ac.za